Print Form

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

Reset Form

|  | Economic IVII Me  | T STATEMENT  |                       |
|--|---|--|-----------------------|
| DEPARTMENT NAME  | CONTACT PERSON  | EMAIL ADDRESS  | TELEPHONE NUMBER      |
| New Motor Vehicle Board  | Robin Parker  | Robin.Parker@nmvb.ca.gov                               | (916) 244-6776        |
| Annual Board Fee   |   |  | NOTICE FILE NUMBER    |
|  |   |  |                       |
| A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPAG   | CTS Include calculations and ass                                      | umptions in the rulemaking record.                     |                       |
| 1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate   |   |  |                       |
|  | e. Imposes reporti  | - '  |                       |
|  |   | tive instead of performance                            |                       |
| c. Impacts jobs or occupations   | g. Impacts individu   |  |                       |
| d. Impacts California competitiveness  | h. None of the abo  | ve (Explain below):                                    |                       |
| If any box in Items 1 a  | a through g is checked, compl   | lete this Economic Impact Statement.                   |                       |
| If box in Item 1.h. is   | s checked, complete the Fisca   | l Impact Statement as appropriate.                     |                       |
| 2. The New Motor Vehicle Board   | estimates that the econo  | omic impact of this regulation (which includes t       | he fiscal impact) is: |
| (Agency/Department)  |   | ·····-   |                       |
| ⊠ Below \$10 million   |   |  |                       |
| Between \$10 and \$25 million  |   |  |                       |
| Between \$25 and \$50 million  |   |  |                       |
|  | s over \$50 million, agencies are requ<br>nt Code Section 11346.3(c)] | ired to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact</u> | <u>Assessment</u>     |
| 3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:  | 2,700   |  |                       |
| Describe the types of businesses (Include nonpo  | rofits): New motor vehicle de   | ealers, manufacturers, and distributo                  | rs                    |
| Enter the number or percentage of total  | 50%   |  |                       |
| businesses impacted that are small businesses:   |   |  |                       |
| 4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea  | ated: 0 elii  | minated: 0   |                       |
| Explain: No jobs will be created or elin   | ninated as this fee increase  | e only affects specified new motor ve                  | hicle licensees.      |
| 5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: 💢  | ] Statowido   |  |                       |
| 5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts.  | •   |  |                       |
|  | Local or regional (List areas):                                       |  |                       |
| 6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0   | and eliminated: 0   |  |                       |
| Describe the types of jobs or occupations impac  | cted:   |  |                       |
|  |   |  |                       |
| 7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produce |   | YES X NO   |                       |
| If YES, explain briefly:   |   |  |                       |
|  |   |  |                       |
|  |   |  |                       |
|  |   |  |                       |

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

# ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

| 1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$   |
|---|
| a. Initial costs for a small business: \$ 425/yr. new veh. dealer Annual ongoing costs: \$ same Years: biennial   |
| b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ 425/yr. new veh. dealer Annual ongoing costs: \$ same Years: biennial   |
| c. Initial costs for an individual: \$n/a Annual ongoing costs: \$n/a Years: n/a  |
| d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: 100-200 manufacturer or distributor licensees would pay \$425/year. The  |
| remaining 55-65 licenesees would pay a per vehicle fee of \$.65 (\$.20 increase). The fixed fee of \$425 is a \$125 increase.   |
| 2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: n/a   |
| 3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements.  Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted.  \$ n/a  |
| 4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?  \[ \times YES \times NO   |
| If YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$   |
| Number of units:  |
| 5. Are there comparable Federal regulations? XES X NO   |
| Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations:  |
|   |
| Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$  |
| C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.  |
| 1. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment:   |
| activities by providing a fair and impartial tribunal for litigants within its jurisdiction. This benefits the public and consumers by  |
| activities by providing a fair and impartial tribunal for ittigants within its jurisdiction. This benefits the public and consumers by  |
| providing a forum for dispute resolution without impacting the court system and ensures the public welfare is considered.   |
|   |
| providing a forum for dispute resolution without impacting the court system and ensures the public welfare is considered.   |
| providing a forum for dispute resolution without impacting the court system and ensures the public welfare is considered.  2. Are the benefits the result of:  specific statutory requirements, or  goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?   |
| providing a forum for dispute resolution without impacting the court system and ensures the public welfare is considered.  2. Are the benefits the result of:  specific statutory requirements, or  goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?  Explain: Vehicle Code sections 3000-3085.10 provide this statutory mandate.  |
| providing a forum for dispute resolution without impacting the court system and ensures the public welfare is considered.  2. Are the benefits the result of:  specific statutory requirements, or  goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?  Explain: Vehicle Code sections 3000-3085.10 provide this statutory mandate.  3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ Unknown   |
| providing a forum for dispute resolution without impacting the court system and ensures the public welfare is considered.  2. Are the benefits the result of:    specific statutory requirements, or    goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?  Explain:    Vehicle Code sections 3000-3085.10 provide this statutory mandate.  3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime?    Unknown  |
| providing a forum for dispute resolution without impacting the court system and ensures the public welfare is considered.  2. Are the benefits the result of:    specific statutory requirements, or    goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?  Explain:    Vehicle Code sections 3000-3085.10 provide this statutory mandate.  3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime?    Unknown  |
| providing a forum for dispute resolution without impacting the court system and ensures the public welfare is considered.  2. Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requirements, or goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?  Explain: Vehicle Code sections 3000-3085.10 provide this statutory mandate.  3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ Unknown  4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from this regulation: None.  D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged. |
| providing a forum for dispute resolution without impacting the court system and ensures the public welfare is considered.  2. Are the benefits the result of:   |

Print Form

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

|    |                                 |                                    | ECONO  | 11110 1                | 1111 110 1                  | 51711                     |                            | CONT            | (човы)            |  |
|----|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| 2. | Summarize the t                 | otal statewide                     | costs and benefits f   | rom this r             | egulation an                | d each alt                | ernative cons              | idered:         |                   |  |
|    | Regulation:                     | Benefit: \$                        | 0  | Cost: \$               | 0                           |                           |                            |                 |                   |  |
|    | Alternative 1:                  | Benefit: \$                        | 0  | Cost: \$               | 0                           |                           |                            |                 |                   |  |
|    |                                 |                                    | 0  |                        |                             |                           |                            |                 |                   |  |
| 3. |                                 |                                    | n issues that are rele<br>ts for this regulatio                  |                        |                             | The alt                   | ernative (                 | \$.15/\$100     | increase) reli    | ies on optimistic expecta-   |
|    | tions of vehicle                | sales returning t                  | o pre-pandemic leve  | ls. Flatlinii          | ng sales and u              | ıncertain in              | npact of trade             | tarrifs and su  | pply chain pressu | res justify the proposed increase.                                     |
|    | regulation man actions or proce | dates the use c<br>edures. Were po | cies to consider pe<br>of specific technolo<br>erformance standa | gies or e<br>rds consi | quipment, o<br>dered to low | r prescribe<br>ver compli | es specific<br>ance costs? | YES             | ⊠ NO              |  |
|    |                                 |                                    |  |                        |                             |                           |                            |                 |                   |  |
|    |                                 |                                    |  |                        |                             |                           |                            |                 |                   |  |
| Ξ. | MAJOR REGUL                     |                                    | de calculations an   |                        |                             |                           |                            |                 |                   |  |
|    |                                 | •                                  | Environmental P<br>mit the following                             |                        |                             |                           |                            | •               | -                 | -  |
| 1. | Will the estimate               | ed costs of this                   | regulation to Califo   | rnia busir             | ness enterpris              | ses <b>excee</b> c        | \$10 million               | ? YES           | ☐ NO              |  |
|    |                                 |                                    |  |                        |                             | omplete<br>NO, skip       | E2. and E3<br>to E4        |                 |                   |  |
| 2. | Briefly describe                | each alternative                   | e, or combination o  | falternati             | ves, for whic               | h a cost-ef               | fectiveness a              | nalysis was p   | erformed:         |  |
|    | Alternative 1: _                |                                    |  |                        |                             |                           |                            |                 |                   |  |
|    | Alternative 2: _                |                                    |  |                        |                             |                           |                            |                 |                   |  |
|    | (Attach addition                | al pages for othe                  | er alternatives)   |                        |                             |                           |                            |                 |                   |  |
| 3. | For the regulati                | on, and each al                    | ternative just descri  | bed, ente              | er the estimat              | ted total c               | ost and overa              | all cost-effect | iveness ratio:    |  |
|    | Regulation: T                   | otal Cost \$                       |  |                        | Cost-effe                   | ctiveness ı               | atio: \$                   |                 |                   |  |
|    | Alternative 1: T                | otal Cost \$                       |  |                        | _ Cost-effe                 | ctiveness ı               | atio: \$                   |                 |                   |  |
|    | Alternative 2: T                | otal Cost \$                       |  |                        | _ Cost-effe                 | ctiveness ı               | atio: \$                   |                 |                   |  |
| 4. | exceeding \$50 r                | million in any 12                  |  | ween the               | date the ma                 |                           |                            |                 |                   | l in or doing business in California<br>tary of State through12 months |
|    |                                 | NO                                 |  |                        |                             |                           |                            |                 |                   |  |
|    |                                 |                                    | ubmit a <u>Standardize</u><br>6.3(c) and to include              |                        |                             |                           |                            | ified in        |                   |  |
| 5. | Briefly describe                | the following:                     |  |                        |                             |                           |                            |                 |                   |  |
|    | The increase or                 | decrease of inv                    | estment in the Stat  | e:                     |                             |                           |                            |                 |                   |  |
|    |                                 |                                    |  |                        |                             |                           |                            |                 |                   |  |
|    | The incentive fo                | or innovation in                   | products, materials  | or proce               | sses:                       |                           |                            |                 |                   |  |
|    |                                 |                                    |  |                        |                             |                           |                            |                 |                   |  |
|    |                                 |                                    | , including, but not<br>ne state's environme                     |                        |                             |                           |                            |                 |                   |  |
|    | ,                               | • •                                |  | -1                     |                             | 3                         |                            |                 | , , ,             |  |

Reset Form

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

|          | FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indicat<br>urrent year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.                      | e appropriate boxes 1 tl    | nrough 6 and attach calcula    | tions and assumptions of fiscal impact for the |   |
|----------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
|          | Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal<br>(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Califor |                             |                                |  |   |
|          | \$   |                             |                                |  |   |
|          | a. Funding provided in   |                             |                                |  |   |
|          | Budget Act of  |                             |                                |  |   |
|          | b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's B   | sudget Act of               |                                |  |   |
|          |  | Fiscal Year:                |                                |  |   |
|          | 2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Califor |                             |                                |  |   |
|          | \$   |                             |                                |  |   |
|          | Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and  | provide the appropriate     | information:                   |  |   |
|          | a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in   | ר<br>                       |                                |  | _ |
|          | b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the   | ne<br>                      |                                | Court.   |   |
|          | Case of:   |                             | VS                             |  | _ |
|          | c. Implements a mandate of the people of this S  | tate expressed in their a   | pproval of Proposition No.     |  |   |
|          | Date of Election:  |                             |                                |  |   |
|          | d. Issued only in response to a specific request fr  | om affected local entity    | (s).                           |  |   |
|          | Local entity(s) affected:  |                             |                                |  | _ |
|          |  |                             |                                |  | _ |
|          | e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, e  | etc. from:                  |                                |  | _ |
|          | Authorized by Section:   | c                           | f the                          | Code;  |   |
|          | f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of lo  | ocal government which w     | will, at a minimum, offset any | additional costs to each;                      |   |
|          | g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty fo  | r a new crime or infraction | on contained in                |  |   |
|          | 3. Annual Savings. (approximate)   |                             |                                |  |   |
|          | \$   |                             |                                |  |   |
|          | 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes   | s only technical, non-sub   | stantive or clarifying changes | to current law regulations.                    |   |
| $\times$ | 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affe  | ct any local entity or proc | gram.                          |  |   |
|          | 6. Other. Explain  |                             |                                |  | _ |
|          |  |                             |                                |  |   |

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

| <b>B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.   | 4 and attach calculations and as  | ssumptions of fiscal impact for the curren |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)   |                                   |  |
| \$   |                                   |  |
| It is anticipated that State agencies will:  |                                   |  |
| a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.  |                                   |  |
| b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the  | Fiscal Year                       |  |
| 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)   |                                   |  |
| \$   |                                   |  |
| 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.   |                                   |  |
| 🔀 4. Other. Explain The Board has existing costs of approximately \$2 million  | n. The proposed regulation        | results in collecting fees as noted        |
| in the Economic Impact Statement to cover existing and anti  | icipated costs to avoid insol     | vency.                                     |
| C. FISCAL FEFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE DROCDAMS in disease an usual  | wists bayes 1 through 4 and atte  |  |
| C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.  | oriale boxes Tithrough 4 and allo | ach calculations and assumptions of fisca  |
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)   |                                   |  |
| \$   |                                   |  |
| 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)   |                                   |  |
| \$   |                                   |  |
| 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State ag  | ency or program.                  |  |
| 4. Other. Explain  |                                   |  |
|  |                                   |  |
|  |                                   |  |
| FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE A   |                                   | DATE                                       |
| 1 May Mon  |                                   | 08/25/2025                                 |
| The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments ighest ranking official in the organization. |                                   |  |
| AGENCY SECRETARY   |                                   | DATE                                       |
| James Hacker   |                                   | 10/17/2025                                 |
| ( ) / (inance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 req   | uire completion of Fiscal Im      |  |
| DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER   | of I isolat Int                   | DATE                                       |
|  |                                   |  |

## STD 399 revised per DOF request\_signed TMC

Final Audit Report 2025-10-17

Created: 2025-10-17

By: Steven Moreno (s140801@calsta.ca.gov)

Status: Signed

Transaction ID: CBJCHBCAABAAnAdjlflMHHybfrn2zmrvwaMdbXxMsweM

## "STD 399 revised per DOF request\_signed TMC" History

Document created by Steven Moreno (s140801@calsta.ca.gov) 2025-10-17 - 8:09:45 PM GMT- IP address: 149.136.17.250

Document emailed to James Hacker (s163651@calsta.ca.gov) for signature 2025-10-17 - 8:11:18 PM GMT

Email viewed by James Hacker (s163651@calsta.ca.gov) 2025-10-17 - 9:15:44 PM GMT- IP address: 149.136.17.253

Document e-signed by James Hacker (s163651@calsta.ca.gov)
Signature Date: 2025-10-17 - 9:15:52 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 149.136.17.253

Agreement completed. 2025-10-17 - 9:15:52 PM GMT

